

**BUT-Five Points Roundabout Project (PID 106129)**  
**Public Comments**

The Butler County Engineer’s Office and the City of Hamilton held a public meeting on September 19<sup>th</sup>, 2018 for the BUT-Five Points Roundabout Project. Comments were accepted at the meeting and for 30 days following the meeting through mail, email, and over the phone. A total of 12 comment sheets were received. A summary of comments received and responses is provided below.

**Major Concerns for the Intersection**

The comment form asked respondents to check boxes indicating their major concerns for the intersection. The options were safety, congestion, pedestrian crossing, or other with a place to specify what the other concerns were. Commenters were able to indicate more than one concern. Safety (11 votes) was the issue that commenters were most concerned with, followed by congestion (10 votes), and pedestrian crossing (6 votes). Two commenters indicated other concerns for the intersection, with one specifying that they would like to see further improvements of the area.

**Summary of Comments and Responses**

The comments have been summarized and grouped by theme in the table below. Responses to the comments are also provided in the table.

# of Comments	Comment/Concern	Response to Comment
5	Prefer Alternative 1 because it is better for traffic movement, less confusing, and/or safer.	Thank you for your input. It has been considered during the alternatives evaluation process.
4	Do not like Alternative 1 because the roundabout is too small and/or too close to houses near the intersection.	Thank you for your input. It has been considered during the alternatives evaluation process.
1	Prefer Alternative 2A because it provides more room for merging traffic.	Thank you for your input. It has been considered during the alternatives evaluation process.
4	Do not like Alternative 2A because it is too small (middle of the peanut squeezes together), provides more time to speed up entering the intersection, and/or too close to houses near the intersection.	Thank you for your input. It has been considered during the alternatives evaluation process.
4	Prefer Alternative 2B because it responds to anticipated increases in traffic, is safer, and better for truck traffic.	Thank you for your input. It has been considered during the alternatives evaluation process.
1	Concerned with how traffic will be managed during construction with the local businesses at the intersection.	Maintenance of traffic would be similar for all three alternatives presented at the public meeting. At this time, it is anticipated that some closures will be necessary during construction, and there is a potential for full closure of the intersection during construction. The county and city will continue

		consideration of construction sequencing and maintenance of traffic during design.
1	Alternatives 2A and 2B seem very similar.	Alternatives 2A and 2B are very similar. The main difference between these two alternatives is that Alternative 2A is generally oriented along Grand Blvd/Hamilton-Mason Rd and Alternative 2B is generally oriented along Hancock Ave/Tylersville Rd.
2	Interested in seeing changes to the area around the intersection as well. The roundabout could improve traffic flow and also positively impact the appearance of the intersection in general.	Thank you for your input. The proposed project is limited to transportation-specific improvements. Additional changes to the area around the intersection could occur through separate efforts led by individual property owners or other organizations/agencies in the county.